Global aspects of radiation memory

J. Winicour[1,2]
[1] *Department of Physics and Astronomy*
University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA
[2] *Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik,*
Albert-Einstein-Institut,
14476 Golm, Germany

Gravitational radiation has a memory effect represented by a net change in the relative positions of test particles. Both the linear and nonlinear sources proposed for this radiation memory are of the "electric" type, or E mode, as characterized by the even parity of the polarization pattern. Although "magnetic" type, or B mode, radiation memory is mathematically possible, no physically realistic source has been identified. There is an electromagnetic counterpart to radiation memory in which the velocity of charged test particles obtain a net "kick". Again, the physically realistic sources of electromagnetic radiation memory that have been identified are of the electric type. In this paper, a global null cone description of the electromagnetic field is applied to establish the non-existence of B mode radiation memory and the non-existence of E mode radiation memory due to a bound charge distribution.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Ex, 04.25.D-

arXiv:1407.0259v2 [gr-qc] 11 Oct 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

The memory effect due to radiation fields first received attention in the case of gravitational radiation, where it was noted that certain radiating systems would produce a net displacement between test particles in the wave zone [1]. The effect was initially recognized in linearized gravity where the radiation memory arises from an exploding system of massive particles which escape to infinity. However, in the fully nonlinear gravitational case, another source of radiation memory was noted by Christodoulou [2], which was interpreted as arising from the flux of radiation energy to infinity instead of particles. [3, 4] In order to clarify the relation between these linear and nonlinear sources of gravitational radiation memory, the analogous effect has been studied in the case of electromagnetic radiation [5], and even scalar radiation [6], where electromagnetic radiation memory gives charged test particles a net kick, i.e. a net momentum recoil. There is a global feature of radiation memory that has not yet been explored. All the known examples produce radiation of the "electric" type, as distinguished by the even parity of the polarization pattern. Here we apply a null cone formulation of Maxwell's equations as a characteristic initial value problem for the vector potential to show that electromagnetic radiation memory of the odd parity "magnetic" type cannot be produced by a physically realistic charge-current distribution.

Our approach is based upon a treatment of the coupled Einstein-Maxwell equations [7] which generalizes the null hypersurface formulation of the Einstein equations pioneered by Bondi et al [8] and by Sachs [9]. The corresponding treatment of the Maxwell field in the flat space case as a characteristic initial value problem in a null gauge [10] is reviewed in Sec. II. This approach is useful for investigating the sky pattern of the electromagnetic radiation. In this respect, it has an advantage over the traditional Green function approach where the radiation field in a given direction is determined by a retarded time integral over the corresponding null hyperplane; i.e. the radiation field of a scalar field Φ in the direction of the unit vector $\mathbf{n}$ produced by a source S is

$$r\Phi(t,r,\mathbf{n}) = \int S(t-r+\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}')dV', \quad r\to\infty, \tag{1.1}$$

where the integral is over a null hyperplane in the $\mathbf{n}$ direction. In order to reveal the full sky pattern of the radiation at a given time, it is necessary to convert the retarded time dependence on the null-hyperplane to ordinary time by, say, restriction of the source to a point particle, or by a Fourier decomposition of the time dependence of the source or by a slow-motion approximation. Except for the point particle case, these methods are not well-adapted to studying the sky pattern of the long term memory effect.

We follow the common electromagnetic nomenclature and refer to the even and odd parity of the radiation patterns as E mode ("electric" type) or B mode ("magnetic" type), respectively. Of course, in the electromagnetic case, the electric and magnetic radiation fields are equal in magnitude and orthogonal in direction. The E or B modes refer to the parity of the sky pattern of the polarization. This distinction was the crucial element in the recent BICEP2 experiment [11], in which a B mode component detected in the cosmic microwave background is possible evidence of the existence of primordial gravitational waves.

The distinction between E and B mode radiation memory is elaborated in more technical detail in Sec. III, where examples of electromagnetic memory of both types are presented. These examples show that B mode memory can be generated by ingoing radiation fields. However, all the proposed charge-current sources of electromagnetic radiation memory only produce E mode memory. The same is true for examples of gravitational memory, as discussed in Appendix A. This raises the question whether B mode memory must be of primordial origin or generated by ingoing waves produced in the distant past.

We investigate these issues in the context of the null gauge formulation of Maxwell equations in Sec. IV for E mode radiation and in Sec. V for B mode radiation. Our chief results are:

- E mode memory cannot be generated by a physically realistic bound charge and current distribution, i.e. a spatially bounded distribution of charges and currents whose electric and magnetic fields approach stationary values at infinite past and future retarded times. The generic physically realistic source of E mode radiation memory is a system of charged particles with escape velocity.
- For B mode radiation memory, no such physically realistic sources exist.

The stationarity condition is necessary to eliminate radiation memory due to source free waves.

II. MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS IN THE NULL GAUGE

It will be useful to refer to three separate coordinate systems. A Cartesian inertial system $(t, x^i) = (t, x, y, z)$, the associated spherical coordinate system $(t, r, x^A) = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$, $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, and the associated outgoing null coordinate system $x^\alpha = (u, r, x^A)$, with retarded time $u = t - r$ and vertices of the null cones at $r = 0$. In these retarded null coordinates, the Minkowski metric is

$$ds^2 = -du^2 - 2dudr + r^2 q_{AB} dx^A dx^B, \tag{2.1}$$

with contravariant components

$$g^{ur} = -1, \quad g^{rr} = 1, \quad g^{AB} = r^{-2} q^{AB}, \quad g^{uu} = g^{uA} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $q_{AB} dx^A dx^B = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$ is the unit sphere metric.

Referred to these coordinates, Maxwell equations

$$\mathcal{E}^\alpha := \nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi J^\alpha = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

consist of the three *main equations*

$$\mathcal{E}^u = 0, \quad \mathcal{E}^A = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

and the *supplementary condition* $\mathcal{E}^r = 0$. If the main equations are satisfied then charge-current conservation $\nabla_\alpha J^\alpha = 0$ implies

$$\partial_r (r^2 \mathcal{E}^r) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

As a result, the supplementary condition is satisfied if it is satisfied on any $r = const$ sphere. In particular, it is satisfied if fields at the vertices $r = 0$ are non-singular.

We treat Maxwell's equations in terms of a vector potential

$$F^{\alpha\beta} = \nabla^\alpha A^\beta - \nabla^\beta A^\alpha \tag{2.6}$$

and use the gauge freedom $A_\alpha \to A_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \Lambda$ to introduce a null gauge

$$A_r = A^u = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

This can be arranged by the gauge choice

$$\Lambda(u, r, x^A) = -\int_0^r A_r dr. \tag{2.8}$$

In a similar way, we could pin down the remaining gauge freedom $\Lambda(u, x^A)$ to set

$$A_u(u, r, x^A)|_{r=0} = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

which is important for posing a unique characteristic evolution problem, although this is not essential for the purpose of this paper.

Regularity conditions follow from the requirement that the Maxwell field $F_{\alpha\beta}$ have smooth components in Cartesian inertial coordinates. The transformation $(t, x^i) \to (u, r, x^A)$ then induces vertex regularity conditions in the retarded null spherical coordinates. The coordinate derivatives are related by

$$\partial_u = \partial_t \,, \quad \partial_r = \partial_t + n^i \partial_i \,, \quad \partial_A = r(\partial_A n^i)\partial_i \,, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$n^i = \frac{x^i}{r} = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta) \tag{2.11}$$

is composed of $\ell = 1$ spherical harmonics. The components of $F_{\alpha\beta}$ transform according to

$$F_{ru} = \partial_r A_u = n^i F_{it} \tag{2.12}$$

$$F_{rB} = \partial_r A_B = r(\partial_B n^j)(F_{tj} + n^i F_{ij}) \tag{2.13}$$

$$F_{Bu} = \partial_B A_u - \partial_u A_B = r(\partial_B n^i) F_{it} \tag{2.14}$$

$$F_{BC} = \partial_B A_C - \partial_C A_B = r^2(\partial_B n^i)(\partial_C n^j) F_{ij}. \tag{2.15}$$

Regularity at the vertex of the null cone requires $\partial_r A_B|_{r=0} = 0$, $(\partial_B A_u - \partial_u A_B)|_{r=0} = 0$ and $(\partial_B A_C - \partial_C A_B)|_{r=0} = 0$.

At future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$, the asymptotic behavior of the Maxwell field for an isolated system implies $F_{ru} = \partial_r A_u = O(r^{-2})$, $F_{Bu} = \partial_B A_u - \partial_u A_B = O(1)$ and $F_{rB} = \partial_r A_B = O(r^{-1})$. Note, however, that in the null gauge the Coulomb field of a moving charge has the asymptotic dependence

$$A_B \sim a_B(x^C) \ln r. \tag{2.16}$$

The angular components of the electric field are $E_B = F_{Bu}$. In these spherical coordinates $E_B = O(1)$ at null infinity so that the net momentum "kick" on a test particle with charge q is determined by

$$q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_B du = q \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_B A_u du - q \Delta A_B, \tag{2.17}$$

where we use the notation $\Delta F(x^C) = F(u, x^C)|_{u=\infty} - F(u, x^C)|_{u=-\infty}$. Note that in terms of a orthonormal basis this kick falls off as $O(1/r)$.

Because of the extraneous r-dependence in the angular components of the metric in spherical coordinates, it is convenient to raise and lower angular indices with the unit sphere metric q_{AB}. In doing so, we adopt the convention that J^A and A_B are the true tensorial components induced by the transformation from Cartesian coordinates, e.g $A^B = q^{BC} A_C$. For notational simplicity, we also denote covariant derivatives with respect to the unit sphere metric by a "colon", e.g.

$$A_B{}^{:B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \partial_C (\sqrt{q} q^{BC} A_B), \quad q = \det(q_{AB}). \tag{2.18}$$

With these conventions, the main equations take the form of a hypersurface equation $\mathcal{E}^u = 0$,

$$4\pi J^u = \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r \{ r^2 \partial_r A_u - A_B{}^{:B} \}, \tag{2.19}$$

and a dynamical equation $\mathcal{E}^B = 0$,

$$4\pi J^B = \frac{q^{BC}}{r^2} \partial_r \{ 2\partial_u A_C - \partial_C A_u - \partial_r A_C \} + \frac{q^{BC}}{r^4} (A_{D:C} - A_{C:D})^{:D}. \tag{2.20}$$

The supplementary condition $\mathcal{E}^r = 0$, which is automatically satisfied by virtue of the main equations and the vertex regularity conditions, takes the form

$$r^2 \mathcal{E}^r = -4\pi r^2 J^r + \partial_r A_B{}^{:B} - (\partial_u A_B - \partial_B A_u)^{:B} - r^2 \partial_u \partial_r A_u = 0. \tag{2.21}$$

The main equations determine the following natural evolution scheme for a characteristic initial value problem [10]. Given the initial values of the angular components $A_B(u = 0, r, x^C)$, the hypersurface equation can be integrated radially to determine $A_u(u = 0, r, x^C)$. In turn, the dynamical equation can be integrated radially to determine $\partial_u A_B(u = 0, r, x^C)$. By means of a finite difference approximation, this determines $A_B(\delta u, r, x^C)$. This procedure can then be iterated to produce a solution provided the finite difference approximation converges. Such a convergent finite difference evolution algorithm has been successful for the analogous characteristic initial value problem for the Einstein equations [12]. The coupled Maxwell-Einstein equations have this same hierarchical structure as a set of hypersurface and dynamical equations which can be integrated sequentially in the radial direction. [7]

III. E AND B MODE RADIATION MEMORY

A vector field on the sphere V_B may be expressed as the a sum of a gradient and a curl,

$$V_A = \Phi_{:A} + \Psi^{:A}\epsilon_{BA}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\epsilon_{AB} = -\epsilon_{BA}$ is the alternating tensor. In other terminology, Φ and Ψ correspond to the electric and magnetic parts of V_A, i.e. the E mode with positive parity and the B mode with negative parity. Alternatively, the decomposition can be made in terms of a spin-weight-1 function $V = q^A V_A$ by introducing a complex dyad q^A according to

$$q^{AB} = q^{(A}\bar{q}^{B)}, \quad \epsilon_{AB} = iq_{[A}\bar{q}_{B]}, \quad \epsilon^{AB} = iq^{[A}\bar{q}^{B]} \quad q^A\bar{q}_A = 2, \tag{3.2}$$

where in standard spherical coordinates, $\epsilon_{\theta\phi} = \sin\theta$. Then (3.1) takes the form

$$V = q^A(\Phi_{:A} + i\Psi_{:A}) = \eth v, \quad v = \Phi + i\Psi, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\eth$ is the spin-weight raising operator [13]. Thus Φ is the real part and Ψ is the imaginary part of the spin-weight-0 potential v for the spin-weight-1 function V.

In order to treat the vector potential describing the exterior radiation field emitted by an isolated system we introduce a Hertz potential with the symmetry

$$H^{\alpha\beta} = H^{[\alpha\beta]}.$$

Then the vector potential

$$A^\alpha = \partial_\beta H^{\alpha\beta}$$

satisfies the Lorentz gauge condition and generates a solution of Maxwell's equations provided the Hertz potential satisfies the wave equation.

Any source free wave can be generated in this way. Here we concentrate on dipole waves oriented with respect to the z-axis. Let $(T^\alpha, X^\alpha, Y^\alpha, Z^\alpha)$ be a normalized basis aligned with the axes of a Cartesian inertial frame. The choice

$$H^{\alpha\beta} = (T^\alpha Z^\beta - Z^\alpha T^\beta)\frac{f(t-r)}{r} \tag{3.4}$$

gives rise to an outgoing E mode dipole wave with vector potential

$$A_\alpha = -\left(\frac{f'(t-r)}{r} + \frac{f(t-r)}{r^2}\right)T_\alpha\cos\theta - \frac{f'(t-r)}{r}Z_\alpha, \tag{3.5}$$

where $f'(u) = df(u)/du$. The components in null spherical coordinates in the null gauge are

$$A_u = (\frac{2f'(u)}{r} + \frac{f(u)}{r^2})\cos\theta, \tag{3.6}$$

$$A_r = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$A_B = -(f'(u) - \frac{f(u)}{r})(\cos\theta)_{:B}, \tag{3.8}$$

with

$$E_B = F_{Bu} = \left(f''(u) + \frac{2f'(u)}{r} + \frac{f(u)}{r^2}\right)(\cos\theta)_{:B}. \tag{3.9}$$

The choice

$$H^{\alpha\beta} = (X^\alpha Y^\beta - Y^\alpha X^\beta)\frac{f(t-r)}{r}, \tag{3.10}$$

corresponding to the 4-dimensional dual of (3.4), gives rise to an outgoing B mode dipole wave with vector potential

$$A_\alpha = -\left(\frac{f'(t-r)}{r} + \frac{f(t-r)}{r^2}\right)\left(\frac{yX_\alpha}{r} - \frac{xY_\alpha}{r}\right). \tag{3.11}$$

The components in null spherical coordinates in the null gauge are

$$A_u = A_r = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

$$A_B = -(f'(u) + \frac{f(u)}{r})(\cos\theta)^{:A}\epsilon_{AB}. \tag{3.13}$$

In both the case of E mode and B mode dipole waves, the memory is non-zero provided

$$\Delta f' = f'(u=\infty) - f'(u=-\infty) \neq 0.$$

The electric and magnetic radiation fields are proportional to $f''(u)$, so a non-zero memory is consistent with finite energy flux to infinity provided $f(u)$ has the asymptotic behavior $f'(u) = O(1)$ and $f''(u) = O(u^{-1})$ for large $|u|$.

The source free outgoing radiation solutions (3.5) and (3.11) are singular at $r = 0$ but the singularity can be removed by a superposition of outgoing and ingoing waves

$$\frac{f(t-r) - f(t+r)}{r} = \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{r}, \quad v = t + r. \tag{3.14}$$

For a smooth function f such solutions are smooth at $r = 0$. This superposition also eliminates a problem associated with secular growth at early or late times which would otherwise result from the asymptotic dependence $f(u) \sim u$, which is necessary for a non-zero radiation memory. However, anomalous time dependence still results. This can be seen from the representative example

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= Au, \quad u \leq -T \\ f(u) &= F(u), \quad -T < u < T, \\ f(u) &= Bu, \quad u \geq T, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $F(u)$ is chosen to produce a smooth radiative waveform. At early and late times,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{r} &= \frac{Au - Av}{r} = -2A, \quad u \leq -T, \quad v \leq -T, \\ \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{r} &= \frac{Bu - Bv}{r} = -2B, \quad u \geq T, \quad v \geq T, \end{aligned}$$

but in a neighborhood of spatial infinity

$$\frac{f(u) - f(v)}{r} = \frac{Au - Bv}{r} = -2B + \frac{(A-B)u}{r}, \quad u \leq -T, \quad v \geq T. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus anomalous time dependence occurs in a neighborhood of spatial infinity for $A \neq B$, i.e. for the case of non-zero memory. This time dependence carries over to the Maxwell field. For the electric dipole wave (3.5) the superposition (3.14) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} E_r = F_{ru} &= -2\left(\frac{f'(u) + f'(v)}{r^2} + \frac{f(u) - f(v)}{r^3}\right)\cos\theta \\ &= -2\left(\frac{A-B}{r^2} + \frac{(A-B)u}{r^3}\right)\cos\theta, \quad u \leq -T, \quad v \geq T. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Thus, the E mode memory produced by this source free wave can be ruled out by requiring that the Maxwell field approach a stationary limit as $u \to -\infty$ and also as $u \to +\infty$, which corresponds to the limit $v \to +\infty$. The same conclusion holds true for the corresponding B mode memory. Note that this asymptotic stationarity condition does not rule out ingoing waves with vanishing radiation memory, e.g. waves for which $f(v)$ has compact support.

In these source free examples, the radiation memory originates from ingoing radiation from the infinite past. The question whether electromagnetic radiation memory can be generated by a physically realistic charge-current distribution is addressed in the next two sections.

IV. E MODE RADIATION MEMORY

Now consider E mode radiation fields for which we can set $A_B = \partial_B \alpha$ and

$$E_B = F_{Bu} = \partial_B A_u - \partial_u A_B = \partial_B (A_u - \partial_u \alpha).$$

In particular $\partial_B A_C - \partial_C A_B = 0$ so that the main equations (2.19) and (2.20) then reduce to the hypersurface equation

$$4\pi r^2 J^u = \partial_r \{r^2 \partial_r A_u - A_B{}^{:B}\} \tag{4.1}$$

and dynamical equation

$$4\pi r^2 J^B = q^{BC} \partial_r \{2\partial_u A_C - \partial_C A_u - \partial_r A_C\}. \tag{4.2}$$

The supplementary condition (2.21) reduces to

$$4\pi r^2 J^r = \partial_r A_B{}^{:B} - (\partial_u A_B - \partial_B A_u)^{:B} - r^2 \partial_u \partial_r A_u. \tag{4.3}$$

The chief equation governing the radiation memory results from combining (4.1) and (4.2) using charge-current conservation, which takes the explicit form

$$r^2 \partial_u J^u + \partial_r (r^2 J^r) + r^2 J^A{}_{:A} = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

But this procedure leads exactly to the supplementary condition (2.21). Evaluated at $\mathcal{I}^+$, the asymptotic falloff of the Maxwell field implies that the supplementary condition further reduces to

$$\{4\pi r^2 J^r - E_B{}^{:B} + r^2 \partial_u \partial_r A_u\}|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

This is precisely the equation obtained by Bieri and Garfinkle [5] by a purely asymptotic approach based upon an expansion in $1/r$ (see also [6]). As pointed out in [5], it shows that the radiation memory is governed by two sources,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_B{}^{:B} du|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \Delta(r^2 \partial_r A_u)|_{\mathcal{I}^+} + 4\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r^2 J^r du|_{\mathcal{I}^+}. \tag{4.6}$$

The first term on the right hand side of (4.6) represents the radiation memory due to the change in the asymptotic Coulomb-type field. It corresponds to the "linear" memory in the gravitational case. For a particle with unit charge which is asymptotically at rest at $u = -\infty$ and is ejected with non-zero final velocity V at $u = \infty$, this term corresponds to a boosted Coulomb field. For final velocity V in the z-direction, the resulting Coulomb field at $u = \infty$ in null spherical coordinates (and null gauge) has component

$$F_{ru} = \partial_r A_u = \frac{1}{R^{*2}} \Big(\partial_r R^* - (1 - V\cos\theta) \partial_u R^* \Big) = \frac{1 - V^2}{R^{*3}} \Big(r(1 - V\cos\theta) - uV\cos\theta \Big), \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$R^{*2} = (1 - V^2)(x^2 + y^2) + (z - Vt)^2 = r^2 (1 - V\cos\theta)^2 + 2uVr(V - \cos\theta) + V^2 u^2.$$

At $\mathcal{I}^+$,

$$(r^2 F_{ru})|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \frac{1 - V^2}{(1 - V\cos\theta)^2}. \tag{4.8}$$

After subtracting out the initial Coulomb field,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_B{}^{:B} du|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \Delta(r^2 F_{ru})|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \frac{1 - V^2}{(1 - V\cos\theta)^2} - 1. \tag{4.9}$$

The radiation memory is then given by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_B du|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \frac{-V}{1 - V\cos\theta} \partial_B \cos\theta. \tag{4.10}$$

In the slow motion approximation this has a pure dipole angular dependence. Analogous results for a system of unbound charged particles can be obtained by superposition.

A. Lack of E mode radiation memory from a bound system

The above results follow from a purely asymptotic analysis at $\mathcal{I}^+$. In order to address the question whether a bound system of charged particles can produce radiation memory it is apparent from the examples in Sec. III that memory arising from ingoing radiation from $\mathcal{I}^-$ must be eliminated. We enforce this by requiring that the field strengths approach stationary values in the limits $u = \pm\infty$. We adapt the null coordinates to the asymptotic stationarity. As a result, all components of the Maxwell field must satisfy $\partial_u F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ in the limits $u = \pm\infty$. (Note that this asymptotic stationarity does not rule out the Coulomb field of a moving charge.) In terms of the vector potential, with $A_B = \partial_B \alpha$, this implies

$$0 = \partial_u(\partial_u A_B - \partial_B A_u)|_{u=\pm\infty} = \partial_u(\partial_u \alpha - A_u)_{:B}|_{u=\pm\infty}, \tag{4.11}$$
$$0 = \partial_u \partial_r A_B = \partial_u \partial_r \alpha_{:B}|_{u=\pm\infty}, \tag{4.12}$$
$$0 = \partial_u \partial_r A_u|_{u=\pm\infty}. \tag{4.13}$$

For technical convenience, we assume that α has no $\ell = 0$ spherical harmonic component, i.e.

$$\alpha = \sum_{\ell=1,|m|\leq\ell}^{\ell=\infty} \alpha_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}. \tag{4.14}$$

These conditions then imply the early and late time functional dependencies

$$(A_u - \partial_u \alpha) \rightarrow f_1(r, x^A), \tag{4.15}$$
$$\partial_r \alpha \rightarrow f_2(r, x^A), \quad \partial_r \partial_u \alpha \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.16}$$
$$\partial_r A_u \rightarrow f_3(r, x^A). \tag{4.17}$$

In addition, the requirement of a bound system requires that the 4-current satisfies

$$\partial_u J^u|_{u=\pm\infty} = J^r|_{u=\pm\infty} = J^A{}_{:A}|_{u=\pm\infty} = 0. \tag{4.18}$$

As a result of these early and late time conditions, the hypersurface equation implies

$$4\pi r^2 J^u|_{u=\pm\infty} = \partial_r(r^2 \partial_r A_u - \alpha_{:B}{}^{:B})|_{u=\pm\infty}, \tag{4.19}$$

the dynamical equation implies

$$0 = -\partial_r(A_u + \partial_r \alpha)_{:B}{}^{:B}|_{u=\pm\infty} \tag{4.20}$$

and the supplementary condition implies

$$0 = (\partial_r \alpha - \partial_u \alpha + A_u)_B{}^{:B}|_{u=\pm\infty}. \tag{4.21}$$

By combining (4.15) and (4.16) with (4.21), we can set

$$(\partial_r \alpha - \partial_u \alpha + A_u)|_{u=\pm\infty} = -\mathcal{Q}(r), \tag{4.22}$$

so that, using (4.16),

$$(\partial_r A_u + \partial_r^2 \alpha)|_{u=\pm\infty} = -\partial_r \mathcal{Q}(r). \tag{4.23}$$

Substitution of (4.23) into (4.19) to eliminate $\partial_r A_u$ gives

$$4\pi r^2 J^u|_{u=\pm\infty} = -\Big(\partial_r(r^2 \partial_r(\partial_r \alpha + \mathcal{Q})) + \partial_r \alpha_{:B}{}^{:B})\Big)|_{u=\pm\infty}. \tag{4.24}$$

Here (4.24) is a Poisson equation for $(\partial_r \alpha + \mathcal{Q})$. Outside the compact support $r \leq R$ of the charge-current distribution, it determines the solution with spherical harmonic components

$$\mathcal{Q}(r) = \frac{Q}{r}, \tag{4.25}$$

$$\partial_r \alpha_{\ell m}|_{u=\pm\infty} = \frac{a_{\ell m}}{r^{\ell+1}}, \quad \ell \geq 1, \tag{4.26}$$

where Q is the conserved charge of the system and $a_{\ell m}$ are constants. As a result, (4.23) gives

$$(r^2 \partial_r A_u)|_{\mathcal{I}^+, u=\pm\infty} = \sum_{\ell=1, |m|\leq\ell}^{\ell=\infty} \frac{(\ell+1) a_{\ell m}}{r^\ell} Y_{\ell m}|_{\mathcal{I}^+} + Q = Q. \tag{4.27}$$

Thus

$$\Delta(r^2 \partial_r A_u)|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \Delta Q = 0 \tag{4.28}$$

and (4.6) governing the radiation memory reduces to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_B{}^{:B} du|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = 0. \tag{4.29}$$

Since $E_B = \partial_B (A_u - \partial_u \alpha)$ is a gradient, there are no nontrivial solutions to (4.29), which are well-behaved on the sphere. As a result, the E mode radiation memory due to a bound charge-current distribution vanishes.

V. B MODE RADIATION MEMORY

In order to investigate B mode radiation fields we set $A_B = \epsilon_{BC} \beta^{:C}$. The hypersurface equation (2.19) then reduces to

$$4\pi J^u = \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r \{ r^2 \partial_r A_u \}, \tag{5.1}$$

the dynamical equation (2.20) reduces to

$$4\pi J^B = \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r \{ 2\epsilon^{BC} \partial_u \beta_{:C} - A_u{}^{:B} - \epsilon^{BC} \partial_r \beta_{:C} \} + \frac{1}{r^4} \{ \epsilon^{DC} \beta_{:C}{}^{:B} - \epsilon^{BC} \beta_{:C}{}^{:D} \}_{:D} \tag{5.2}$$

and the supplementary condition (2.21) reduces to

$$4\pi r^2 J^r = A_u{}^{:C}{}_{:C} - r^2 \partial_u \partial_r A_u. \tag{5.3}$$

By means of the commutation relation for covariant derivatives on the unit sphere,

$$v_{C:BA} - v_{C:AB} = q_{AC} v_B - q_{BC} v_A, \tag{5.4}$$

the dynamical equation becomes

$$4\pi J^B = \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r \{ 2\epsilon^{BC} \partial_u \beta_{:C} - A_u{}^{:B} - \epsilon^{BC} \partial_r \beta_{:C} \} - \frac{1}{r^4} \epsilon^{BC} \beta_{:D}{}^{:D}{}_{:C}. \tag{5.5}$$

The electric field satisfies $E_B = \partial_B A_u - \epsilon_{BC} \partial_u \beta^{:C}$. The magnetic part is determined by

$$\epsilon^{BC} E_{B:C} = -\partial_u \beta^{:C}{}_{:C} \tag{5.6}$$

so that the B mode radiation memory is determined by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \epsilon^{BC} E_{B:C} du|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = -\Delta \beta^{:C}{}_{:C}|_{\mathcal{I}^+}. \tag{5.7}$$

The curl of the dynamical equation governs the magnetic part of the field,

$$4\pi \epsilon_{BC} J^{B:C} = \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r \{ 2\partial_u \beta_{:C}{}^{:C} - \partial_r \beta_{:C}{}^{:C} \} - \frac{1}{r^4} \beta_{:C}{}^{:C}{}_{:D}{}^{:D}. \tag{5.8}$$

We require that the Maxwell field be asymptotically stationary at early and late retarded times, so that $\partial_u F_{\alpha\beta} \to 0$ in the limits $u \to \pm\infty$. For the magnetic field component F_{AB} this requires that

$$\epsilon^{BC} \partial_u A_{B:C}|_{u=\pm\infty} = \partial_u \beta_{:C}{}^{:C}|_{u=\pm\infty} = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Thus, at early and late times, (5.8) implies a Poisson equation for $\beta_{:C}{}^{:C}$,

$$4\pi K = -\partial_r^2 \beta_{:C}{}^{:C} - \frac{1}{r^2}\beta_{:C}{}^{:C}{}_{:D}{}^{:D}, \quad u = \pm\infty, \tag{5.10}$$

where $K := r^2 \epsilon_{BC} J^{B:C}$. A spherical harmonic decomposition gives

$$4\pi K_{\ell m} = -\partial_r^2 \gamma_{\ell m} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}\gamma_{\ell m}, \quad \ell \geq 1, \quad u = \pm\infty, \tag{5.11}$$

where $\gamma = \beta_{:C}{}^{:C}$. The solution is

$$\gamma_{\ell m}(r) = \int_0^\infty r r' g(r,r') K_{\ell m}(r') dr' \tag{5.12}$$

in terms of the Green function [14]

$$\begin{aligned} g(r,r') &= \frac{4\pi}{\ell+1}\frac{r'^\ell}{r^{\ell+1}}, \quad r' < r \\ g(r,r') &= \frac{4\pi}{\ell+1}\frac{r^\ell}{r'^{\ell+1}}, \quad r' > r. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Thus

$$\gamma_{\ell m}(r) = \frac{4\pi}{\ell+1}\int_0^r \frac{r'^{\ell+1}}{r^\ell} K_{\ell m}(r') dr' + \frac{4\pi}{\ell+1}\int_r^\infty \frac{r^{\ell+1}}{r'^\ell} K_{\ell m}(r') dr'. \tag{5.14}$$

The convergence of these integrals as $r \to \infty$ depends upon the limiting behavior of $K_{\ell m}(r)$. For that purpose, we model the current by $J^\alpha = \rho u^\alpha$, in terms of a charge density ρ and 4-velocity u^α. The momentum density is then given by μu^α, in terms of the mass density μ, and the Cartesian components of the angular momentum density are $M_i = \epsilon_{ijk}\,\mu\, x^i u^k$. It follows that $\mu u^i = O(r^{-4-\epsilon})$ $(\epsilon > 0)$ for a system with finite angular momentum. Assuming a finite charge to mass ratio ρ/μ, this implies that the spatial components of current satisfy $J^i = O(r^{-4-\epsilon})$. As a result of the transformation to spherical coordinates, $J^A = O(r^{-5-\epsilon})$ and thus $K = r^2 \epsilon_{BC} J^{B:C} = O(r^{-3-\epsilon})$.

This asymptotic behavior of K is sufficient to guarantee the convergence of the integrals in (5.14). The limiting behavior is given by

$$\gamma_{\ell m}|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \frac{4\pi}{\ell+1}\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_0^r \frac{r'^{\ell+1}}{r^\ell} K_{\ell m}(r') dr' = -\frac{4\pi\ell}{\ell+1}\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{r^{\ell+1}}{r^{\ell-1}} K_{\ell m}(r) = 0, \tag{5.15}$$

where the last equality follows from l'Hospital's rule. As a result,

$$\gamma|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \beta_{:C}{}^{:C}|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = 0, \quad u = \pm\infty, \tag{5.16}$$

and $\beta|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = 0$, $u = \pm\infty$, is the only non-trivial solution. Thus $\Delta\beta = 0$ and there cannot be any B mode radiation memory due to a physically realistic charge-current distribution.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have established two global properties of electromagnetic radiation memory: (i) production of E mode radiation memory requires an unbound system of charges; (ii) B mode radiation memory cannot be produced by any physically realistic charge-current distribution. The methods used here pave the way for a similar analysis in linearized gravitational theory, where the analogous global results for gravitational radiation memory are plausible.

The global properties of the electromagnetic and gravitational radiation memory in the fully nonlinear Einstein-Maxwell theory can also be tackled by means of a coupled characteristic initial value problem. The characteristic treatment of gravitational radiation has led to a robust and accurate computational tool for extracting gravitational waveforms from strong sources [12]. The coupling to the electromagnetic field leads to to gravitational radiation memory due to the flux of electromagnetic radiation to null infinity [15–17], analogous to the flux of gravitational energy which produces the nonlinear memory discovered by Christodoulou. These forms of radiation memory have been referred to as "null memory" [18], to clarify that they do not necessarily require nonlinearity but only a flux of

energy to null infinity. This also includes zero rest mass particles [6, 19] and neutrinos [20]. Such null memory arises in the same way as nonlinear gravitational memory and is of the electric type (see Appendix A).

In the context of the BICEP2 experiment, the interaction with gravitational waves has the crucial effect of producing B mode electromagnetic radiation. The extent to which relativistic gravitational effects, including black hole formation, can lead to B mode electromagnetic radiation memory is an interesting question. Computational simulation might shed light on this issue..

In addition to the possibility of observing gravitational radiation memory using pulsar timing arrays [21], there are also compelling theoretical concerns that warrant further study of the memory effect. Gravitational radiation memory is related to the supertranslation freedom in the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs (BMS) group [22], which is the asymptotic symmetry group for asymptotically flat space times. For a stationary system, this supertranslation freedom can be removed and the BMS group reduced to the Poincare group, in which case energy-momentum and angular momentum are well-defined. However, for a system which makes a stationary to stationary transition, the two Poincare groups obtained at early and late times can differ by a supertranslation. This in fact occurs if the intervening gravitational radiation produces a non-zero memory. See [23–25] for discussions. (These considerations were already presaged in Part D of the pioneering work of Bondi [8].) Such supertranslation shifts of the electric type could lead to a distinctly general relativistic mechanism for a system to lose angular momentum. A non-zero magnetic type supertranslation shift would have a more drastic effect on a proper understanding of angular momentum in general relativity.

Acknowledgments

This work was motivated by the seminal results of L. Bieri and D. Garfinkle, which I learned of as guest of the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, supported by NSF grant 0932078 to the University of California at Berkeley. My research was supported by NSF grant PHY-1201276 to the University of Pittsburgh.

Appendix A: Global decomposition of gravitational radiation memory

Gravitational radiation has a decomposition analogous to the E and B mode decomposition of electromagnetic radiation. We use the notation of Sec. III. The strain tensor which measures the gravitational radiation is normally represented as a trace free tensor field $\sigma_{AB}(u, x^C)$ on the sphere. Equivalently, in terms of a polarization dyad it can be represented as a spin-weight 2 field $\sigma = q^A q^B h_{AB}$, The decomposition into electric and magnetic electric and magnetic parts is achieved by relating σ to a spin-weight-0 field Σ by means of the spin-weight raising operator $\eth$ [13],

$$\sigma = \eth^2 \Sigma := q^A q^B \Sigma_{:AB}. \tag{A1}$$

The decomposition of the spin-weight-0 potential Σ into real and imaginary parts,

$$\Sigma(u, x^A) = \alpha(u, x^A) + i\beta(u, x^A), \tag{A2}$$

is the gravitational analogue of the E and B mode decomposition. The electric part α has even parity and the magnetic part β has odd parity. The corresponding modes of gravitational wave memory are determined by the real and imaginary parts of

$$\Delta\Sigma = \Delta(\alpha + i\beta) = (\alpha + i\beta)|_{u=\infty} - (\alpha + i\beta)|_{u=-\infty}. \tag{A3}$$

It is easy to show, analogous to the electromagnetic examples presented in Sec. III, that both electric and magnetic type gravitational radiation memory can be realized in linearized theory by source free gravitational waves. It is the purpose of this Appendix to show that the two known sources of gravitational memory based upon physically realistic systems, the "linear" and "nonlinear" memory, both produce memory of electric type.

First consider the "linear" radiation memory produced by the ejection of a massive particle with velocity V_i from an initially bound system. In the present notation, the results of Braginsky and Thorne [26] lead to the radiation memory

$$\Delta\sigma = \frac{4M\, Q^i V_i\, Q^j V_j}{\sqrt{1 - V^2}\,(1 - V_i n^i)}, \tag{A4}$$

where $Q^i = \eth n^i = q^A n^i{}_{:A}$ is a complex basis for the 2-space transverse to the radial unit vector n^i. Because $n^i = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta)$ consists of $\ell = 1$ spherical harmonics, it follows that $\eth^2 n^i = 0$, i.e. spin-weight-2

functions are composed of spherical harmonics with $\ell \geq 2$. As a result, we can rewrite (A4) in terms of a real spin-weight-0 potential as

$$\Delta\sigma = \frac{4M}{\sqrt{1-V^2}}\eth^2\bigg((1-n^iV_i)\ln(1-n^iV_i)\bigg). \tag{A5}$$

Thus the "linear" memory is purely of the electric type.

Next consider the "nonlinear" memory discovered by Christodoulou. A simple formulation has been given by Frauendiener [27] in terms of the Newman-Penrose formalism [28], in which the radiation field σ is the asymptotic shear of the outgoing null hypersurfaces $u = const$. He finds that the nonlinear memory satisfies

$$\eth^2\Delta\bar{\sigma} = -\Delta(\Psi_2 + \sigma\dot{\bar{\sigma}}) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\dot{\sigma}|^2du, \tag{A6}$$

where Ψ_2 is the leading asymptotic part of the Weyl tensor component.

The last term in (A6) is the nonlinear memory produced by the radiation of gravitational energy to $\mathcal{I}^+$. It is manifestly real and leads to radiation memory of the electric type.

The first term in (A6) incorporates the radiation memory produced by freely escaping massive particles in linearized theory, which is again of the electric type. It remains an open question whether this first term can lead to magnetic type radiation memory. One way to check whether it has a magnetic component is to calculate

$$\bar{\eth}^2\Delta\sigma - \eth^2\Delta\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\eth}^2\eth^2(\alpha + i\beta) - \bar{\eth}^2\eth^2(\alpha - i\beta) = 2i(\bar{\eth}\eth)^2\beta, \tag{A7}$$

where the last equality follows from the general commutation relation

$$(\bar{\eth}\eth - \eth\bar{\eth})F = 2sF \tag{A8}$$

for a spin-weight-s quantity F. (This commutation relation is the $\eth$ version of the commutator of covariant derivatives on the unit sphere (5.4).) Applied to (A6), this gives

$$\bar{\eth}^2\Delta\sigma - \eth^2\Delta\bar{\sigma} = \Delta\bigg((\bar{\Psi}_2 + \bar{\sigma}\dot{\sigma}) - (\Psi_2 + \sigma\dot{\bar{\sigma}})\bigg). \tag{A9}$$

But in the Newman-Penrose formalism (see [27])

$$\Psi_2 - \bar{\Psi}_2 = \bar{\eth}^2\sigma - \eth^2\bar{\sigma} + \bar{\sigma}\dot{\sigma} - \sigma\dot{\bar{\sigma}}. \tag{A10}$$

As a result, (A9) reduces to the identity

$$\bar{\eth}^2\Delta\sigma - \eth^2\Delta\bar{\sigma} = \Delta(\bar{\eth}^2\bar{\sigma} - \eth^2\bar{\sigma}). \tag{A11}$$

So it appears that this type of purely asymptotic argument cannot be used to study gravitational radiation memory of the magnetic type.

---

[1] "Radiation of gravitational waves by a cluster of superdense stars", Ya. B. Zeldovich and A. G. Polnarev, *Sov. Astron.* **18**, 17 (1974).

[2] 'Nonlinear nature of gravitation and gravitational-wave experiments", D. Christodoulou, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **67**, 12 (1991).

[3] "Christodoulou's nonlinear gravitational-wave memory: Evaluation in the quadrupole approximation", A. G. Wiseman and C. M. Will, *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, R2945 (1991) .

[4] "Gravitational-wave bursts with memory: The Christodoulou effect", K. S. Thorne, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 2 (1992).

[5] "An electromagnetic analog of gravitational wave memory", L. Bieri and D. Garfinkle, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **30** 195009 (2013).

[6] "Retarded field of null particles and the memory effect", A. Tolish and R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 064008 (2014).

[7] "Asymptotic behavior of coupled gravitational fields", J. Winicour, *PhD. Thesis*, Syracuse University (1964).

[8] "Gravitational waves in general relativity VII. Waves from axi-symmetric isolated systems", H. Bondi, M. van der Burg and A. W. K. Metzner, *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **269**, 21 (1962).

[9] "Gravitational waves in general relativity VIII. Waves in asymptotically flat space-time"', R. K. Sachs, *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **270**, 103 (1962).

[10] "Gravitational fields in finite and conformal Bondi frames", L. A. Tamburino and J. Winicour, *Phys. Rev.* **150** 1039 (1966).

[11] "Detection of B-mode polarization at degree angular scales by BICEP2", P. A. R. Ade et al. (BICEP2 collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Letters* **112**, 241101 (2014).
[12] "Characteristic evolution and matching", J. Winicour, *Living Rev. Rel.* **15**, 2 (2012).
[13] "Spin s spherical harmonics and ð", J. N. Goldberg, A. J. Macfarlane , E. T. Newman, F. Rohrlich and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 2155 (1967).
[14] "Classical Electrodynamics", J. D. Jackson, (John Wiley and Sons, 3rd edition, 1998).
[15] "Extensions of the stability theorem of the Minkowski space in general relativity - solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations", N. Zipser, *Studies in Advanced Mathematics* (AMS-IP, Cambridge, MA, 2009).
[16] "Null asymptotics of solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations in general relativity and gravitational radiation" L. Bieri, P. Chen and S. T. Yau, *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics.* **15**, 4 (2011).
[17] "The electromagnetic Christodoulou memory effect and its application to neutron star binary mergers", L. Bieri, P. Chen and S. T. Yau, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** 21 (2012).
[18] "Perturbative and gauge invariant treatment of gravitational wave memory", L Bieri, and D Garfinkle, *Phys. Rev.D* **89**, 084039 (2014).
[19] "Examination of a simple example of gravitational wave memory", A. Tolish, L. Bieri, D. Garfinkle and R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**. 044060 (2014).
[20] "Neutrino radiation showing a Christodoulou memory effect in general relativity", L Bieri, and D Garfinkle, *Annales Henri Poincaré*, DOI10.1007/s00023-014-0329-1 (Springer, 2013).
[21] "Detecting gravitational wave memory with pulsar timing", J. M. Cordes and F. A. Jenet, *Ap. J.* **752**, 54 (2012).
[22] "Asymptotic symmetries in gravitational theory", R. Sachs, *Phys. Rev.* **128** 2851 (1962).
[23] "Note on the Bondi–Metzner–Sachs group E. T. Newman and R. Penrose, *J. Math. Phys.* **7**, 863 (1966).
[24] "Linkages in general relativity", R. Geroch and J. Winicour, *J. Math. Phys.* **22** 803 (1981).
[25] "Angular momentum of isolated systems", A. D. Helfer, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **39**, 2125 (2007).
[26] "Gravitational wave bursts with memory and experimental prospects", V. B. Braginsky and K. S. Thorne, *Nature (London)* **327**, 123 (1987).
[27] "Note on the memory effect", Jörg Frauendiener, *Class. Quantum, Grav.* **9** 1639 (1992).
[28] "An approach to gravitational radiation by a method of spin coefficients", E. T. Newman and R. Penrose, *J Math. Phys.* **3**, 566 (1962).